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"Waving goodbye to Ferdinand: natural language is not composed of Saussurean signs"

This talk aims to show that the atoms of linguistic composition are not Saussurean signs (viz. arbitrary pairings of form & meaning; Saussure 1916, Hjelmslev 1943). Setting aside ideophones and cases of onomatopoeia, most modern approaches to linguistic theory take it as a given that the atoms of morphosyntactic composition – be they 'words' or morphemes – are form-meaning pairings (which can and often are associated with additional, sui generis syntactic features). I will argue that this is in fact an error: architecturally speaking, structured natural-language expressions are entirely devoid of Saussurean signs (with the possible exception of monomorphemic utterances like "wow!", "ugh", and the like).

I will argue in favor of a grammatical architecture where atoms of linguistic composition are entirely abstract, and are not directly associated with form or with meaning. Instead, these atoms, once syntactically arranged, constitute the input to a set of mapping rules to form, and to a separate set of mapping rules to meaning. These mapping rules are many-to-one rules and, importantly, nothing forces the set of atoms that map onto a particular element of form to also map, as a set, onto a particular element (or elements) of meaning.

In fact, the input sets to form and to meaning can stand in all manner of misalignment, including what I term proper partial overlap, an illustration of which is given in (1), and an example of which is given in (2):

(1) abstract demonstration of proper partial overlap:
   a. SYNTAX: [x, [y, z]]
   b. SEMANTICS:
      (i) \{x\} \rightarrow A
      (ii) \{y, z\} \rightarrow B  (descriptively, we are used to calling B an "idiom")
c. MORPHO-PHONOLOGY:
   (i) \{x, y\} → R (descriptively, we are used to calling R a "suppletive fusional
       exponent")
   (ii) \{z\} → S

(2) concrete example of proper partial overlap:
   a. SYNTAX: [PAST, [GO, OFF]]
   b. SEMANTICS:
      (i) \{PAST\} → "before now"
      (ii) \{GO, OFF\} → "explode"
   c. MORPHO-PHONOLOGY:
      (i) \{PAST, GO\} → /wɛnt/
      (ii) \{OFF\} → /ɑf/

The expression in (2) is composed of smaller parts, both in terms of its semantics ("before
now", "explode"), and in terms of its morpho-phonology (/wɛnt/, /ɑf/). It would therefore be
incorrect to claim that (2), as a whole, constitutes an 'arbitrary' pairing of form & meaning. At the
same time, there is nothing else in (2) that constitutes a pairing of form & meaning, either – only
pairings of abstract syntactic nodes with meaning (2.b.i-ii), and separate, incommensurate pairings
of abstract syntactic nodes with form (2.c.i-ii). Thus, (2) involves no Saussurean signs whatsoever.
I will show that empirically, cases of proper partial overlap abound, as do other types of cases
predicted by the proposed architecture. Lastly, I will argue that even those contemporary linguistic
frameworks that distance themselves from outright Saussureanism, such as Distributed
Morphology (Halle & Marantz 1993, 1994) and Nanosyntax (Starke 2009, Caha 2009, 2019), retain
certain Saussurean vestiges that render them less explanatory than the current proposal.
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